arXiv:1304.5277v2 [math-ph] 14 May 2013

# On dB spaces with nondensely defined multiplication operator and the existence of zero-free functions

**Luis O. Silva***

Departamento de Física Matemática
Instituto de Investigaciones en Matemáticas Aplicadas y en Sistemas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
C.P. 04510, México D.F.
`silva@iimas.unam.mx`

**Julio H. Toloza**†

CONICET
Centro de Investigación en Informática para la Ingeniería
Universidad Tecnológica Nacional – Facultad Regional Córdoba
Maestro López esq. Cruz Roja Argentina
X5016ZAA Córdoba, Argentina
`jtoloza@scdt.frc.utn.edu.ar`

## Abstract

In this work we consider de Branges spaces where the multiplication operator by the independent variable is not densely defined. First, we study the canonical selfadjoint extensions of the multiplication operator as a family of rank-one perturbations. Then, on the basis of the obtained results, we provide new necessary and sufficient conditions for a real, zero-free function to lie in a de Branges space.

---

Mathematics Subject Classification(2010): 46E22; Secondary 47A25, 47B25, 47N99.
Keywords: de Branges spaces, zero-free functions.
*Partially supported by CONACYT (México) through grant CB-2008-01-99100
†Partially supported by CONICET (Argentina) through grant PIP 112-201101-00245

# 1 Introduction and main results

In this paper we deal with some properties of the class of de Branges spaces (dB spaces) characterized by the fact that the multiplication operator by the independent variable (see Section 2) is not densely defined. A de Branges space $\mathcal{B}$ is a Hilbert space of entire functions which can be defined by means of an Hermite-Biehler function $e(z)$ (for details see Section 2). As it is well known, when the $\operatorname{dom}(S)$ is not dense, its codimension equals one [2]. In particular, $\operatorname{dom}(S)$ is orthogonal to one of the functions $s_\beta(z)$ (defined in Section 2), where $\beta \in [0, \pi)$. These functions are uniquely determined by the function $e(z)$ and are in one-to-one correspondence with the canonical (that is, within $\mathcal{B}$) selfadjoint extensions $S_\beta$ of $S$. Moreover, the function $s_\beta(z)$, which is orthogonal to $\operatorname{dom}(S)$, happens to be the only one that belongs to $\mathcal{B}$. Without loss of generality we shall henceforth assume that this occurs for $s_0(z)$.

Our research begins by studying the operator $S$ and its canonical selfadjoint extensions. Here we deduce formulae for rendering the selfadjoint extensions as a family of rank-one perturbations along the function $s_0(z) \in \mathcal{B}$.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume $s_0(z) \in \mathcal{B}$. Then the set of canonical selfadjoint operator extensions of $S$ are given by* $\operatorname{dom}(S_\beta) = \operatorname{dom}(S_{\pi/2})$,

$$S_\beta = S_{\pi/2} - \frac{\cot\beta}{\pi} \langle s_0(\cdot), \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}\, s_0(z), \tag{1}$$

*for $\beta \in (0, \pi)$.*

This assertion can be derived from general results within the theory of rank-one perturbations of relations [3]. Remarkably, our approach to the operator $S$ and its selfadjoint extensions is based exclusively on the properties of functions in dB spaces and the family $s_\beta(z)$, $\beta \in [0, \pi)$.

Another important feature of the function $s_0(z)$ is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** *If the function $s_0(z)$ is in $\mathcal{B}$, then, for any $\beta \in (0, \pi)$, $s_0(z)$ is a generating vector for the operator $S_\beta$.*

For the definition of generating vector we refer the reader to [1, Section 69 Definition 1].

With these results at hand, we tackle the question of whether a dB space of the class consider in this work has a zero-free function. The existence of a real zero-free function in a de Branges space has been studied in [11]. It was shown in [10] that this property is equivalent to the existence of a function $g(z) \in \mathcal{B}$ (called entire gauge) such that

$$\mathcal{B} = \operatorname{ran}(S - zI) \dotplus \operatorname{span}\{g(z)\}\,, \qquad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}\,. \tag{2}$$

The following assertion gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a real zero-free function within $\mathcal{B}$; here it is convenient to recall that, for every $\beta \in [0, \pi)$, the spectrum of $S_\beta$ coincides with the zero set of $s_\beta(z)$ and the zeros of $s_\beta(z)$ are all simple.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume $s_0(z) \in \mathcal{B}$ and fix $\beta \in (0, \pi)$. Let $j_\beta(z)$ be any real entire function with simple zeros exactly in $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \operatorname{spec}(S_\beta)$. The zero-free function $s_\beta(z)/j_\beta(z)$ is in $\mathcal{B}$ if and only if the reciprocal of the function $j_\beta(z)$ can be decomposed as follows,*

$$\frac{1}{j_\beta(z)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{z - x_k}, \tag{3}$$

*where $\{c_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |c_k|^2 \left| \frac{s'_\beta(x_k)}{s_0(x_k)} \right| < \infty \tag{4}$$

*and the convergence in (3) is uniform on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{spec}(S_\beta)$.*

If a zero-free function is in $\mathcal{B}$, then it is a generating vector (see Remark 4). This fact is used to prove Theorem 4.1 which gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the function $s_0(z)/j_0(z)$ to be in $\mathcal{B}$, where $j_0(z)$ is any real entire function having exactly the same (simple) zeros as $s_0(z)$. These conditions are based on the spaces $L_2(\mathbb{R}, m_\beta)$, where $m_\beta(t) := \langle s_0(\cdot), E_\beta(t) s_0(\cdot)\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ with $E_\beta(t)$ being the resolution of the identity for the operator $S_\beta$.

According to [11, Theorem 3.2], if there exists a real zero-free function in the dB space, then this function is unique up to a multiplicative real constant. Therefore, in this case, all the functions $s_\beta(z)/j_\beta(z)$, $\beta \in [0, \pi)$, are basically the same one. Thus, Theorems 1.3 and 4.1 give two different characterizations of dB spaces with nondensely defined multiplication operator and having zero-free functions. Note also that, since (2) means that $S$ is an entire operator (see [6]), each of these theorems provides necessary and sufficient conditions for the operator $S$ to be entire.

It is worth remarking that the characterizations of dB spaces with zero-free functions given by Theorems 1.3 and 4.1 differ from all the characterizations given before, viz., from the one found by de Branges [2, Theorem 25] and the one found in [11, Theorem 3.2].

By the end of this note we very briefly address the question of how the function $s_0(z)$ and the entire gauge $g(z)$ (see (2) above) are related. Proposition 4.3 is a simple observation on a connection between these two functions within the de Branges space.

## 2 Preliminaries

In what follows by a de Branges space we will always mean a de Branges Hilbert space.

A de Branges space of entire functions can be defined in various ways. For our choice of the definition we need two ingredients. The first one is the Hardy space

$$H_2^+ := \{f(z) \text{ is holomorphic in } \mathbb{C}^+ : \sup_{y>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+\mathrm{i}y)|^2 \, dx < \infty\},$$

where $\mathbb{C}^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{im} z > 0\}$. The second one is an Hermite-Biehler function, that is, an entire function $e(z)$ satisfying $|e(z)| > |e(\overline{z})|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Now, define

$$\mathcal{B}(e) := \{f(z) \text{ entire} : f(z)/e(z), f^{\#}(z)/e(z) \in H_2^+\},$$

where $f^{\#}(z) = \overline{f(\overline{z})}$. The linear space $\mathcal{B}(e)$ equipped with the inner product

$$\langle g, f\rangle_{\mathcal{B}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\overline{g(x)} f(x)}{|e(x)|^2} dx \tag{5}$$

is a de Branges space [9, Theorem 2.2].

Alternative characterizations of de Branges spaces are provided by [9, Proposition 2.1] and also [2, Chapter 2]. It is also possible to define a de Branges space without relying on a given Hermite-Biehler function [2, Problem 50].

A de Branges space has always a reproducing kernel, that is, an entire function $k(z,w)$ that belongs to $\mathcal{B}$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$ and satisfies the property $\langle k(\cdot, w), f(\cdot)\rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = f(w)$ for all $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}$. Also, $k(w,z) = \overline{k(z,w)}$ and $\overline{k(\overline{z},w)} = k(z,\overline{w})$ [2, Theorem 23].

One important operator in a de Branges space is the operator of multiplication by the independent variable,

$$\operatorname{dom}(S) = \{f(z) \in \mathcal{B} : zf(z) \in \mathcal{B}\}, \quad (Sf)(z) := zf(z).$$

This operator is symmetric, closed, regular, and has deficiency indices $(1, 1)$. Its domain has codimension 1 or 0, depending on whether one (and in that case, only one) of the functions $s_\beta(z)$ (defined below) is within $\mathcal{B}$ or none is [2, Theorem 29].

To any de Branges space there corresponds a space of associated functions [2]. This space can be succinctly defined by

$$\operatorname{assoc} \mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B} + z\mathcal{B}\,. \tag{6}$$

Within $\operatorname{assoc} \mathcal{B}$, there is a distinguished family of entire functions, namely,

$$s_\beta(z) := \frac{i}{2}\left[e^{i\beta}e(z) - e^{-i\beta}e^{\#}(z)\right] = \sin\beta\, s_{\pi/2}(z) + \cos\beta\, s_0(z), \tag{7}$$

with $\beta \in [0, \pi)$. Generically, $s_\beta(z) \in \operatorname{assoc} \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}$. Each function of this family is uniquely related to each canonical selfadjoint extension of $S$. The function $s_\beta(z)$ is real (that is, $\overline{s_\beta(z)} = s_\beta(\overline{z})$) for any $\beta \in [0, \pi)$, it has only simple zeros and its zero set coincides with the spectrum of the corresponding selfadjoint extension $S_\beta$.

The reproducing kernel can be written in terms of the functions $s_\beta(z)$. In particular [7],

$$k(z, w) = \frac{s_{\pi/2}(z)s_0(\overline{w}) - s_0(z)s_{\pi/2}(\overline{w})}{\pi(z - \overline{w})}, \quad z \neq \overline{w}, \tag{8}$$

$$k(z, \overline{z}) = \frac{1}{\pi}\left[s'_{\pi/2}(z)s_0(z) - s_{\pi/2}(z)s'_0(z)\right]. \tag{9}$$

## 3 Selfadjoint extensions of $S$

Since we are assuming that the multiplication operator $S$ in $\mathcal{B}$ is not densely defined, one of the functions $s_\beta(z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}$ [2]. For convenience we furthermore assume that this happens for $\beta = 0$. Consequently, $\operatorname{dom}(S)^\perp = \operatorname{span}\{s_0(z)\}$ [2, Theorem 29]. The selfadjoint operator extensions of $S$, corresponding to $\beta \in (0, \pi)$, can be described as follows [4],

$$\operatorname{dom}(S_\beta) = \left\{ g(z) = \frac{s_\beta(w)f(z) - s_\beta(z)f(w)}{\sin\beta\,(z-w)},\ f(z) \in \mathcal{B},\ w \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \tag{10}$$

$$(S_\beta g)(z) = zg(z) + \frac{1}{\sin\beta} f(w)s_\beta(z), \tag{11}$$

while the remainder selfadjoint extension of $S$ is given by the linear relation

$$S_0 = \{(g(z), zg(z) + cs_0(z)) : g(z) \in \operatorname{dom}(S),\ c \in \mathbb{C}\};$$

clearly $\operatorname{dom}(S_0) = \operatorname{dom}(S)$.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume* $s_0(z) \in \mathcal{B}$. *Then* $\operatorname{dom}(S_\beta) = \operatorname{dom}(S_{\pi/2})$ *for all* $\beta \in (0, \pi)$. *Furthermore,*

$$(S_\beta g)(z) = \left(S_{\pi/2} g\right)(z) + \frac{\cos\beta}{\sin\beta} f(w)s_0(z), \tag{12}$$

*for all* $g(z) \in \operatorname{dom}(S_\beta)$ *and where* $f(z)$ *is related to* $g(z)$ *by* (10).

*Proof.* Consider $g(z) \in \operatorname{dom}(S_\beta)$. Then,

$$g(z) = \frac{s_\beta(w)f(z) - s_\beta(z)f(w)}{\sin\beta\,(z-w)}$$

for some $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}$. Using (7) this can be written as

$$g(z) = \frac{s_{\pi/2}(w)f(z) - s_{\pi/2}(z)f(w)}{z-w} + \frac{\cos\beta}{\sin\beta}\,\frac{s_0(w)f(z) - s_0(z)f(w)}{z-w}. \tag{13}$$

The first term above belongs to $\operatorname{dom}(S_{\pi/2})$, while the second one belongs to $\operatorname{dom}(S)$ because by assumption $s_0(z) \in \mathcal{B}$. Therefore, there exists $n(z) \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\frac{\cos\beta}{\sin\beta}\,\frac{s_0(w)f(z) - s_0(z)f(w)}{z-w} = \frac{s_{\pi/2}(w)n(z) - s_{\pi/2}(z)n(w)}{z-w}$$

which in turn shows that $\operatorname{dom}(S_\beta) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(S_{\pi/2})$. Since the argument above can be reversed, we in fact have $\operatorname{dom}(S_\beta) = \operatorname{dom}(S_{\pi/2})$

Notice that the function $n(z)$ mentioned above belongs to $\operatorname{ran}(S - wI)$. Let

$h(z) := f(z) + n(z)$. Then, resorting to (7) once again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(S_\beta g)(z) &= zg(z) + \frac{1}{\sin\beta} f(w) s_\beta(z) \\ &= zg(z) + f(w)s_{\pi/2}(z) + \frac{\cos\beta}{\sin\beta} f(w) s_0(z) \\ &= zg(z) + h(w)s_{\pi/2}(z) - n(w)s_{\pi/2}(z) + \frac{\cos\beta}{\sin\beta} f(w)s_0(z),\end{aligned}$$

which yields (12) because $n(w) = 0$. □

The following assertion does not require that a function $s_\beta(z)$ be in $\mathcal{B}$.

**Lemma 3.2.** *For every* $s_\beta(z)$, $\beta \in [0,\pi)$, *and* $h(z) \in \operatorname{dom}(S)$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{s_\beta(x)} h(x)}{|e(x)|^2} dx = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let $x_0$ be a zero of $s_\beta(z)$. Then, by (10) and (11), $k(z) := s_\beta(z)/(z - x_0)$ is an eigenfunction of $S_\beta$ with eigenvalue $x_0$. Therefore,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{s_\beta(x)} h(x)}{|e(x)|^2} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{k(x)}(x - x_0)h(x)}{|e(x)|^2} dx = \langle k(\cdot), (S - x_0 I)h(\cdot)\rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$$

where the last identity follows after realizing that $k(z) \in \ker(S^* - x_0 I)$ and the multivalued part of $S^*$ equals $\operatorname{span}\{s_0(z)\}$ [3]. □

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let* $s_0(z) \in \mathcal{B}$. *For* $g(z) \in \operatorname{dom}(S_\beta)$, $f(z) \in \mathcal{B}$ *and* $w \in \mathbb{C}$ *related to each other by* (10), *one has* $\langle s_0(\cdot), g(\cdot)\rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = -\pi f(w)$.

*Proof.* Let us start by considering (13). As already mentioned, the second term of this identity lies in $\operatorname{dom}(S)$ so

$$\begin{aligned}\langle s_0(\cdot), g(\cdot)\rangle_{\mathcal{B}} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{s_0(x)} s_{\pi/2}(w) f(x) - \overline{s_0(x)} s_{\pi/2}(x) f(w)}{|e(x)|^2 (x - w)} dx \\ &= -\pi \langle k(\cdot, w), f(\cdot)\rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{s_{\pi/2}(x)}}{|e(x)|^2} \left[\frac{s_0(w) f(x) - s_0(x) f(w)}{x - w}\right] dx,\end{aligned}$$

where the fact that the functions $s_\beta(z)$ are real has been used. In the second term, the expression between squared brackets lies in $\operatorname{dom}(S)$ so by Lemma 3.2

this term equals zero. Obviously the first term equals $-\pi f(w)$ so the assertion is proven. $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Just combine Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3. $\square$

The previous discussion generalizes effortless if one assumes that $s_\gamma(z) \in \mathcal{B}$, $\gamma \in [0, \pi)$. For $\beta \in [\gamma, \gamma + \pi)$, it is easy to see that

$$s_\beta(z) = \sin(\beta - \gamma) s_{\gamma+\pi/2}(z) + \cos(\beta - \gamma) s_\gamma(z).$$

so instead of (1) one has

$$S_\beta = S_{\gamma+\pi/2} - \frac{\cot(\beta - \gamma)}{\pi} \langle s_\gamma(\cdot), \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \, s_\gamma(z),$$

now for $\beta \in (\gamma, \gamma + \pi)$.

Now we turn to the proof of the fact that $s_0(z)$ is generating element (see [1, Section 69]) of $S_{\pi/2}$.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Since $s_0(z) \in \mathcal{B}$, one has, on the basis of (10) and (11), that

$$\begin{aligned}(S_{\pi/2} - wI)^{-1} s_0(z) &= \frac{1}{s_{\pi/2}(w)} \frac{s_{\pi/2}(w) s_0(z) - s_{\pi/2}(z) s_0(w)}{z - w} \\ &= -\frac{\pi}{s_{\pi/2}(w)} k(z, \overline{w})\end{aligned}$$

for all $w \notin \operatorname{spec}(S_{\pi/2})$. Hence,

$$\overline{\operatorname{span}_{w \in \operatorname{spec}(S_0)} \{(S_{\pi/2} - wI)^{-1} s_0(z)\}} = \mathcal{B}\,.$$

Thus, $s_0(z)$ is a generating element for $S_{\pi/2}$, but then, by (1), it is a generating vector for $S_\beta$ with $\beta \in (0, \pi)$. $\square$

*Remark* 1. Clearly, $s_0(z)$ is a generating vector for $S_\beta$, $\beta \in (0, \pi)$, because it has a nonzero projection to each eigenspace of $S_\beta$. Note also that this approach shows that $s_0(z)$ is not a generating vector of $S_0$.

# 4 On the existence of a zero-free function

In this section we deal with the existence of a real zero-free function in the space $\mathcal{B}$ which have the property that $\operatorname{dom}(S)$ is not dense. As was mentioned in the Introduction, the existence of such function in $\mathcal{B}$ is related to the properties of the operator $S$ and to a geometric property of the space itself (2). Our approach is based on the results obtained in the previous section and the notion of the canonical representation of a simple selfadjoint operator [1, Section 69 Theorem 2].

Let $E_\beta(t)$ be the resolution of the identity of $S_\beta$, $\beta \in (0,\pi)$. Define the family of spectral functions

$$m_\beta(t) := \langle s_0(\cdot), E_\beta(t) s_0(\cdot)\rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \sum_{x_n<t} \frac{|s_0(x_n)|^2}{\|k(\cdot,x_n)\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2}\,, \quad \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \operatorname{spec}(S_\beta)\,.$$

Since $s_0(z)$ is a generating element of $S_\beta$, $\beta \in (0,\pi)$, one can consider the family of canonical isometries $U_\beta : L_2(\mathbb{R}, m_\beta) \overset{\text{onto}}{\to} \mathcal{B}$ (cf. [1, Section 69 Theorem 2]) given by

$$(U_\beta f)(z) := f(S)s_0(z) = \sum_{x_n\in\operatorname{spec}(S_\beta)} \frac{f(x_n)\,\langle k(\cdot,x_n), s_0(\cdot)\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}}{\|k(\cdot,x_n)\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2} k(z,x_n)\,. \tag{14}$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** We begin by proving the necessity of the condition for $\beta = \pi/2$. Since $s_0(z)$ is a generating vector for the operator $S_{\pi/2}$, for every $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, m_{\pi/2})$, $f(S)s_0(z)$ is an element of $\mathcal{B}$, and any vector in $\mathcal{B}$ can be written in this way. Using the properties of the reproducing kernel and

$$\|k(\cdot,x_n)\|_{\mathcal{B}}^2 = \langle k(\cdot,x_n), k(\cdot,x_n)\rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = -\frac{1}{\pi} s_{\pi/2}'(x_n)s_0(x_n)\,, \tag{15}$$

which is obtained from (9), one can rewrite the action of $U_{\pi/2}$ as follows

$$(U_{\pi/2}f)(z) = -\pi \sum_{x_n\in\operatorname{spec}(S_{\pi/2})} \frac{f(x_n)k(z,x_n)}{s_{\pi/2}'(x_n)}\,.$$

Suppose that $s_{\pi/2}(z)/j_{\pi/2}(z)$ is in $\mathcal{B}$, then there is a function $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, m_{\pi/2})$

such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{s_{\pi/2}(z)}{j_{\pi/2}(z)} &= -\pi \sum_{x_n\in\operatorname{spec}(S_{\pi/2})} \frac{f(x_n)}{s'_{\pi/2}(x_n)} k(z,x_n)\\ &= -\sum_{x_n\in\operatorname{spec}(S_{\pi/2})} \frac{f(x_n)s_{\pi/2}(z)s_0(x_n)}{s'_{\pi/2}(x_n)(z-x_n)}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where we have used (8). Hence, one has

$$\frac{1}{j_{\pi/2}(z)} = -\sum_{x_n\in\operatorname{spec}(S_{\pi/2})} \frac{f(x_n)s_0(x_n)}{s'_{\pi/2}(x_n)(z-x_n)}\,,$$

where the series converges uniformly on compacts of $\mathbb{C}\setminus\operatorname{spec}(S_{\pi/2})$ since (16) converges in $\mathcal{B}$. By setting

$$c_n = -\frac{f(x_n)s_0(x_n)}{s'_{\pi/2}(x_n)}$$

one establishes the necessity of the condition.

Let us now prove that the condition is sufficient for $\beta=\pi/2$. For any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, define

$$a_n := \frac{c_n s'_{\pi/2}(x_n)}{s_0(x_n)}$$

and substitute it into (3) to obtain

$$\frac{1}{j_{\pi/2}(z)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n s_0(x_n)}{s'_{\pi/2}(x_n)(z-x_n)}\,.$$

Therefore, using (8) and (15), one has

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{s_{\pi/2}(z)}{j_{\pi/2}(z)} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n s_{\pi/2}(z)s_0(x_n)}{s'_{\pi/2}(x_n)(z-x_n)}\\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n\left\langle k(\cdot,x_n),s_0(\cdot)\right\rangle}{\left\|k(\cdot,x_n)\right\|^2} k(z,x_n)\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

for any $z\in\mathbb{C}$. By definition of the numbers $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ there is a function $f\in L_2(\mathbb{R},m_{\pi/2})$ such that $f(x_n)=a_n$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Thus, (17) means that $s_{\pi/2}(z)/j_{\pi/2}(z) = (U_{\pi/2}f)(z)\in\mathcal{B}$.

Once the assertion has been proven for $\beta = \pi/2$, one uses [10, Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4] to finish the proof. $\square$

*Remark* 2. A. Baranov pointed out to us that Theorem 1.3 for $\beta = \pi/2$ can be proven by expanding the function $s_{\pi/2}(z)/j_{\pi/2}(z)$ with respect to the orthonormal basis $k(z, x_n)/\left\|k(\cdot, x_n)\right\|$ (with $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = \operatorname{spec}(S_{\pi/2})$), thus obviating the use of a generating vector.

*Remark* 3. If (4) and (3) hold, and additionally we suppose that

$$\left|c_n\right|(1 + |x_n|) \geq \left|\frac{s_0(x_n)}{s_{\pi/2}(x_n)}\right| \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

then, due to a theorem by Krein [8, Lecture 16 Theorem 3], the function $h(z)$ is in the Cartwright class.

*Remark* 4. Clearly, if a zero-free function belongs to $\mathcal{B}$, then it is a generating vector for $S_\beta$ with $\beta \in [0, \pi)$, since it has a nonzero projection onto every eigenspace.

By using the fact that $s_0(z)/j_0(z)$ is a generating vector for any selfadjoint extension whenever $s_0(z)/j_0(z) \in \mathcal{B}$, we prove the following assertion which gives a different set of necessary and sufficient conditions for a zero-free function to be in $\mathcal{B}$.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume $s_0(z) \in \mathcal{B}$ and let $j_\beta(z)$ be defined as in Theorem 1.3. If the function $s_0(z)/j_0(z)$ is in $\mathcal{B}$, then, for all $\beta \in (0, \pi)$,*

$$\frac{1}{j_0(t)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, m_\beta) \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{s_0(z)}{j_0(z)} = (U_\beta \frac{1}{j_0})(z)\,. \tag{18}$$

*Conversely, if there exists a set $\mathcal{I} \subset (0, \pi)$ having an accumulation point and such that*

$$\frac{1}{j_0(t)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, m_\beta) \quad \forall \beta \in \mathcal{I} \quad \textit{and} \quad (U_\beta \frac{1}{j_0})(z) = (U_{\beta'} \frac{1}{j_0})(z) \quad \forall \beta, \beta' \in \mathcal{I}\,,$$

*then $s_0(z)/j_0(z)$ is in $\mathcal{B}$.*

*Proof.* We define the spectral functions $\widetilde{m}_\beta(t) := \langle s_0(\cdot)/j_0(\cdot), E_\beta(t) s_0(\cdot)/j_0(\cdot)\rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$, and the isometries $\widetilde{U}_\beta$ from $L_2(\mathbb{R}, \widetilde{m}_\beta)$ onto $\mathcal{B}$ such that $(\widetilde{U}_\beta f)(z) := f(S_\beta)\frac{s_0(z)}{j_0(z)}$. Since the function $g(t) \equiv 1$ lies in $L_2(\mathbb{R}, \widetilde{m}_\beta)$ for all $\beta \in (0, \pi)$ we have the first part of (18). Moreover, taking into account (14), one has

$$\frac{s_0(z)}{j_0(z)} = (\widetilde{U}_\beta g)(z) = \sum_{x_n \in \operatorname{spec}(S_\beta)} \frac{s_0(x_n)}{j_0(x_n)\,\|k(\cdot, x_n)\|^2_{\mathcal{B}}} k(z, x_n) = (U_\beta \frac{1}{j_0})(z)$$

for every $\beta \in (0, \pi)$. For the converse part of the assertion, consider the function $r(z) = (U_\beta \frac{1}{j_0})(z)$ for all $\beta \in \mathcal{I}$. It is straightforward to verify that $r(x_n) = s_0(x_n)/j_0(x_n)$ for $x_n \in \operatorname{spec}(S_\beta)$. Now, since $\mathcal{I}$ has an accumulation point, [5, Chapter 7 Theorem 3.9] implies that the entire functions $r(z)$ and $s_0(z)/j_0(z)$ coincide in a set having accumulation points. $\square$

In [10, Proposition 3.9] (see also [11, Theorem 3.2]), necessary and sufficient conditions for a function to be in $\mathcal{B}$ are given in terms of the spectra of two selfadjoint extensions of $S$. Two of these conditions imply that the products below are convergent

$$h_\beta(z) := \begin{cases} \lim\limits_{r\to\infty} \prod\limits_{|b_k|\le r} \left(1 - \dfrac{z}{b_k}\right) & \text{if } 0 \notin \operatorname{spec}(S_\beta), \\ z \lim\limits_{r\to\infty} \prod\limits_{0<|b_k|\le r} \left(1 - \dfrac{z}{b_k}\right) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any $\beta \in [0, \pi)$. Moreover, the unique real zero-free function in $\mathcal{B}$ (up to a multiplicative real constant) is $s_\beta(z)/h_\beta(z)$. Therefore, one arrives at the following straightforward conclusion.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let $s_0(z)$ be an element of $\mathcal{B}$. If $s_\beta(z)/j_\beta(z) \in \mathcal{B}$, then $j_\beta(z) = h_\beta(z)$. On the other hand, if $j_\beta(z)$ is decomposed as in (3) with the sequence $\{c_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying (4), then $j_\beta(z) = h_\beta(z)$.*

*Remark* 5. Assuming that $\mathcal{B}$ is decomposed as in (2) (equivalently that there is a zero-free function in $\mathcal{B}$), the unique real zero-free function is nothing but the unique real entire gauge (up to a multiplicative real constant).

In order to clarify the connection between the gauge and the function $s_0(z)$, let us define the operator $f(S)$ as the operator in $\mathcal{B}$ given by

$$\operatorname{dom}(f(S)) := \{g(z) \in \mathcal{B} : f(z)g(z) \in \mathcal{B}\}\,, \quad (f(S)g)(z) := f(z)g(z)\,.$$

Clearly this definition is consistent with the notion of a function of an operator. Moreover, the following assertion immediately follows from it.

**Proposition 4.3.** *If there is a zero-free function in* $\mathcal{B}$*, then* $s_0(z)/h_0(z) \in \operatorname{dom}(h(S))$*,* $s_0(z) \in \operatorname{dom}((1/h_0)(S))$*, and*

$$\left(h_0(S)\frac{s_0}{h_0}\right)(z) = s_0(z)\,, \quad \left(\frac{1}{h_0}(S)s_0\right)(z) = \frac{s_0(z)}{h_0(z)}\,.$$

**Acknowledgment.** Part of this work was done while the second author (JHT) visited IIMAS–UNAM in the winter of 2013. He sincerely thanks them for their kind hospitality. The authors thank A. Baranov for his comment on Theorem 1.3.

## References

[1] Akhiezer, N. I. and Glazman, I. M.: *Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space.* Dover, New York, 1993.

[2] de Branges L.: *Hilbert Spaces of Entire Functions.* Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1968.

[3] Hassi, S. and de Snoo, H. S. V.: One-dimensional graph perturbations of selfadjoint relations *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **22** (1997) 123–164.

[4] Kaltenbäck M. and Woracek H.: Pontryagin spaces of entire functions I. *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **33** (1999) 34–97.

[5] Kato, T.: *Perturbation theory for linear operators.* Second ed. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **132**. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1976.

[6] Krein M. G.: On one remarkable class of Hermitian operators *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **44** (1944) 175–179 (in Russian)

[7] Langer, M. and Woracek, H: A characterization of intermediate Weyl coefficients. *Monatsh. Math* **135** (2002) 137–155.

[8] Levin, B. Ya.: *Lectures on entire functions.* Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **150**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

[9] Remling, C.: Schrödinger operators and de Branges spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* **196** (2002) 323–394.

[10] Silva, L. O. and Toloza, J. H.: On the spectral characterization of entire operators with deficiency indices $(1, 1)$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **367** (2010) 360–373.

[11] Woracek, H.: Existence of zerofree functions $N$-associated to a de Branges Pontryagin space. *Monatsh. Math.* **162** (2011), 453–506.